| , | | Application No. Applicant | | pplicant(s) | |
|--|----------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | nv | 10/749,167 | 8 | BRITTAIN, JEAN HELEN | |
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | | Examiner | A | rt Unit | |
| | | Tiffany A Fetzner | 2 | 859 | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u> | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Tiffany A Fetzner</u> . | | (3) | | | |
| (2) <u>Attorney J. Mark Wilkinson Reg. No. 48,865.</u> (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2004</u> Time: <u>11Am</u> | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 31, 33, 45, and 50 | | | | | · |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | |
| • | | • | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | Annlican | t/Applicant's Represent | ative Sign | ature – if appr | onriate) |
| (Examiner/SEE Signature) (A | hhiiraii | myhhinaira Wehreseiri | unve Sigii | ature – ii appr | opiiaie) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 31, 33, 45, and 50 were discussed. Claim 33 had an improper dependency, the other independent claims were discussed to ensure proper grammatical antecedent basis, and to ensure that the claims would be legible for printing since the July 2nd 2004 amendment had many unclearly scanned individual letters within the words of applicant's claims. The examiner agreed to make an examiner's amendment to each independent claims to ensure that all of the claimed limitations and clear, and cleanly provided in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner was authorized to make the examiner's amendment, given permission to charge any fees if required, and thanked for her time...